

Larger Efficacy on Combined Vildagliptin/Metformin (Equmet) and Imeglimin (Twymeeg) By Bis in Die (Bid) Administration

Bando H^{*ab}

^aTokushima University / Medical Research, Tokushima, Japan

^bIntegrative Medicine Japan (IMJ), Shikoku Island division, Tokushima, Japan

Article Info

Article History:

Received: 22 April, 2023

Accepted: 26 April, 2023

Published: 01 May, 2023

*Corresponding author: Bando H, Tokushima University/Medical Research, Nakashowa 1-61, Tokushima 770-0943, Japan; Tel: +81-90-3187-2485; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36266/JMCS/186>

Abstract

Several oral hypoglycemic agents (OHAs) have been developed for years. They were provided for 1, 2 or 3 times a day, which are quaque die (qd), bis in die (bid), and ter in die (tid). Vildagliptin and metformin (EquMet) and imeglimin (Twymeeg) are administered by bid. Consequently, blood glucose variability shows stable for 24 hours. Various evidence was obtained from Vildagliptin Efficacy in combination with metfoRmIn for early treatment of type 2 diabetes (VERIFY) and Trials of Imeglimin for Efficacy and Safety (TIMES). In VERIFY, relative risk was significantly lower (0.51) in combined vildagliptin/metformin group compared with metformin monotherapy.

Keywords: Bis in die (bid); Vildagliptin and metformin (EquMet); Imeglimin (Twymeeg); Vildagliptin Efficacy in combination with metfoRmIn for early treatment of type 2 diabetes (VERIFY); Trials of Imeglimin for Efficacy and Safety (TIMES)

Copyright: © 2023 Bando H. this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Commentary

For some decades, various types of oral hypoglycemic agents (OHAs) have been developed into actual practice [1]. Formerly, sulfonyl urea (SU), biguanides, α -GI and glinides were usual OHAs for treating patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D). After that, novel types as DPP4-i, SGLT2i and oral GLP-1RA were produced with effective and safe benefits [2]. The differences would be that former meds were likely to provide a few times a day, and recent meds are often given once a day. Generally, OHAs can be almost classified into some groups as follows: three times a day (ter in die, tid) for α -GI and glinides, twice a day (bis in die, bid) for DPP4-i and biguanides, and once daily (quaque die, qd) for biguanides, DPP4-I and oral GLP-1RA [3]. Number of taking times per day has been gradually decreased due to the compliance and convenience. In recent years, OHAs have been often provided from bid to qd. However, diabetic treatment will be possibly more effective by bid rather than qd.

Authors and co-researchers continued diabetic research and practice for long [4]. We have recently recognized more clinical efficacy of OHAs which are given by bid. They include the combination of DPP4-i and biguanide as vildagliptin and metformin (EquMet) [5]. Furthermore, novel OHA for with dual mechanism has been introduced as imeglimin (Twymeeg) [6,7]. In this article, we present some perspectives about these OHAs associated with related experiences.

As DPP4-i, vildagliptin shows beneficial clinical efficacy by bid,

which was found by all-day blood glucose fluctuations [8]. Thus, EquMet is likely to give better glucose variability, which includes vildagliptin and metformin twice a day. Large clinical studies of VERIFY (Vildagliptin Efficacy in combination with metfoRmIn for early treatment of type 2 diabetes) were conducted in 254 centers including 34 countries [5]. The protocol consisted 2-week screening period, 3-week metformin-provided period, and administering period for years. The cases were randomly divided to 1:1 ratio to two groups, which were combination therapy and metformin monotherapy groups. Among 4524 applicants, 2001 eligible cases were assigned with combined and monotherapy group (n=998, 1003), respectively. In VERIFY, time to initial and second treatment failure was analyzed. Relative risk was significantly lower in combined group for 5 years with hazard ratio of 0.51. The results showed the importance of early strict treatment by combined therapy.

Effects of vildagliptin twice daily can contribute decreased Mean amplitude of glycemic excursion (MAGE) [8]. Further, vildagliptin could reduce the MAGE at 6 months about -20.1mg/dL of average blood glucose [9]. Compared study was conducted between vildagliptin (bid) and sitagliptin (qd) [10]. The results of both groups were 142mg/dL vs 153mg/dL for mean 24-hour glucose, 110.5mg/dL vs 129.4mg/dL for MAGE, 206mg/dL vs 223mg/dL for highest glucose after supper, 484mg/min/dL vs 898mg/min/dL for 3hr-AUC of blood glucose after breakfast. Consequently, intake of vildagliptin by bid showed more beneficial result.

Concerning clinical efficacy of EquMet, comparative study was performed for monotherapy of metformin and vildagliptin/metformin (EquMet) [11]. They analyzed 8533 cases from 11 RCT reports. As a result, combination therapy showed more effects than monotherapy with mean differences of -0.59 value. For VERIFY study, compared study was also conducted for young-onset diabetes (YOD) and late-onset diabetes (LOD) with the borderline of 40 years old [12]. The result showed reduced risk of time for initial treatment failure (TF) for 48% vs 46% in YOD vs LOD, respectively. Similarly, reduced risk for secondary TF was 48% vs 24% in each group. In particular, treatment-naïve YOD cases (HbA1c 6.5%-7.5%) showed early attainment of glycemic target level with durability in comparison with those in metformin monotherapy.

By the results of VERIFY studies for 5 years, the necessity of earlier combination therapy for better glycemic control was presented [5]. The combined treatment of vildagliptin and metformin showed clinical efficacy with evidence. Such paradigm shift for earlier intensified therapy has been known and recommended by the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and American diabetes Association (ADA) [13]. Among VERIFY studies, obtained results from 8 countries in Latin America region were analyzed [4]. For the protocol, primary endpoint was the time period until TF (HbA1c is 7.0% and more) for two consecutive clinical visit 3 months apart. Two groups were early combination (EC) and metformin monotherapy (MET). As a results, TF time period showed 46.4% vs 66.3% in EC and MET, respectively. Therefore, significant decreased risk of time for initial TF was 47% lower between the two groups. Similarly, second TF period was 31% lower in EC group. Consequently, large ratio of cases in EC have maintained successfully durable HbA1c < 7.0%, < 6.5%, and < 6.0%. Similarly, glycemic durability was compared in EC and MET group in Korean VERIFY trial [15]. The initial reduced risk TF was 15% vs 58.7% in EC vs MET, which means 78% risk reduction between the related two groups. T2D cases in the EC group have achieved constantly better HbA1c values. Consequently, EC group showed improved long-term glucose variability.

Both of EquMet and Twymeeeg are OHAs for twice daily. This bid administration would be known to show clinical efficacy of improving blood glucose profile for 24 hours [16]. From historical point of view, metformin has been used for T2D as first-line OHA for long [17]. Imeglimin (Twymeeeg) shows various additive effects with DPP4-i, biguanides, α -GI, SGLT2i, sulfonyl urea, and glinides from Trials of Imeglimin for Efficacy and Safety (TIMES) 1,2,3 studies [6,7]. Imeglimin contributes stable glucose variability measured by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) [18]. Further development of research will be required concerning mitochondrial mechanism [19]. There is a possibility that imeglimin will become the first-line OHA in the future [20].

In summary, achieving diabetes remission in earlier period will contribute much for the future QOL and reducing the risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications [21]. Furthermore, such measure will bring the mitigation of burden of

diabetes against influences on healthcare systems. Especially, successfully strict glycemic control for earlier diabetic stages will lead to decreasing various impaired dysfunction.

Conflict Of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: There was no funding received for this paper.

References

1. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, Bannuru RR, Brown FM, Bruemmer D, et al. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2023. On behalf of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2023; 46: S140-S157.
2. John E, Fisher M. Future Antidiabetic Drugs. *Diabetes Drug Notes*. 2022.
3. Ashtekar S, Deshmukh PP, Ghaisas N, Ashtekar C, Upasani S, Kirloskar M, et al. Effect of Two-Only-Meal Frequency and Exercise on HbA1C Outcomes, Weight, and Anti-Diabetic Medication in Type 2 Diabetes in a Popular Lifestyle Change Campaign in Maharashtra, Compared to Conventional Clinical Management. *Indian J Community Med*. 2023; 48: 91-97.
4. Bando H, Yamashita H, Kato Y, Ogura K, Kato Y. Combined Treatment of Vildagliptin/Metformin (Equmet) and Imeglimin (Twymeeeg) with Clinical Efficacy. *Asp Biomed Clin Case Rep*. 2023; 6: 69-75.
5. Matthews DR, Paldanius PM, Proot P, Chiang Y, Stumvoll M, Del Prato S. Glycaemic durability of an early combination therapy with vildagliptin and metformin versus sequential metformin monotherapy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes (VERIFY): a 5-year, multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet*. 2019; 394: 1519-1529.
6. Dubourg J, Fouqueray P, Quinslot D, Grouin JM, Kaku K. Long-term safety and efficacy of imeglimin as monotherapy or in combination with existing antidiabetic agents in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (TIMES 2): A 52-week, open-label, multicentre phase 3 trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2021; 24: 609-619.
7. Reilhac C, Dubourg J, Thang C, Grouin JM, Fouqueray P, Watada H. Efficacy and safety of imeglimin add-on to insulin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (TIMES 3): A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial with a 36-week open-label extension period. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2022; 24: 838-848.
8. Marfella R, Barbieri M, Grella R, Rizzo MR, Nicoletti GF, Paolisso G. Effects of vildagliptin twice daily vs. sitagliptin once daily on 24-hour acute glucose fluctuations. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2010; 24: 79-83.
9. Yamamoto H, Konishi A, Shinke T, Otake H, Kuroda M, Osue T, et al. The impact of vildagliptin on the daily glucose profile and coronary plaque stability in impaired glucose tolerance patients with coronary artery disease: VOGUE-A multicenter randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2021; 21: 92.
10. Sakamoto M, Nishimura R, Irako T, Tsujino D, Ando K, Utsunomiya K. Comparison of vildagliptin twice daily vs. sitagliptin once daily using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM): crossover pilot study (J-VICTORIA study). *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2012; 11.
11. Ding Y, Liu Y, Qu Y, Lin M, Dong F, Li Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of combination therapy with vildagliptin and metformin vs.

- metformin monotherapy for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus therapy: a meta-analysis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2022; 26: 2802-2817.
12. Chan JCN, Paldanius PM, Mathieu C, Stumvoll M, Matthews DR, Del Prato S. Early combination therapy delayed treatment escalation in newly diagnosed young-onset type 2 diabetes: A subanalysis of the VERIFY study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2021; 23: 245-251.
 13. Ji L, Chan JCN, Yu M, Yoon KH, Kim SG, Choi SH, et al. Early combination versus initial metformin monotherapy in the management of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: An East Asian perspective. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2021; 23: 3-17.
 14. Vencio S, Manosalva JP, Mathieu C, Proot P, Lozno HY, Paldanius PM. Exploring early combination strategy in Latin American patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a sub-analysis of the VERIFY study. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2021; 13: 68.
 15. Yoo SJ, Chang SA, Sohn TS, Kwon HS, Lee JM, Moon S, et al. Long-Term Glycaemic Durability of Early Combination Therapy Strategy versus Metformin Monotherapy in Korean Patients with Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Metab J*. 2021; 45: 954-959.
 16. de Oliveira Neto XA, Barssotti L, Fiori-Duarte AT, Barbosa HCL, Kawano DF. Entering the sugar rush era: revisiting the antihyperglycemic activities of biguanides after a century of metformin discovery. *Curr Med Chem*. 2022.
 17. Goel S, Singh R, Singh V, Singh H, Kumari P, Chopra H, et al. Metformin: Activation of 5' AMP-activated protein kinase and its emerging potential beyond anti-hyperglycemic action. *Front Genet*. 2022; 13: 1022739.
 18. Oda T, Satoh M, Nagasawa K, Sasaki A, Hasegawa Y, Takebe N, et al. The Effects of Imeglimin on the Daily Glycemic Profile Evaluated by Intermittently Scanned Continuous Glucose Monitoring: Retrospective, Single-Center, Observational Study. *Diabetes Ther*. 2022; 13: 1635-1643.
 19. Bando H, Kato Y, Yamashita H, Kato Y, Kawata T. Effective Treatment for Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) by Imeglimin (Twymeeg) and Vildagliptin/Metformin (Equmet). *SunText Rev Endocrine Care*. 2023; 2: 108.
 20. Kitamura A, Yumizaki T, Kondo T, Sekino H, Kakuyama H. Pharmacokinetics and Safety of Imeglimin in Japanese Patients With Impaired Renal Function. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2023; 27.
 21. Koufakis T, Liberopoulos EN, Kokkinos A, Zebekakis P, Kotsa K. Weight Loss Versus Glycemic Control as the Primary Treatment Target in Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes: Why Choose When You Can Have Both? *Drugs*. 2023; 83: 469-477.