

Pole exercise would be clinically effective through increasing thoracic spinal mobility

Akito Moriyasu^{1,2}, Hiroshi Bando^{3,4} and Mitsuru Murakami⁵

¹Rehabilitation Research Group for body and heart in Shikoku, Kagawa, Japan

²Akiboshi Bright Star training rehabilitation center, Kagawa, Japan

³Tokushima University / Medical Research, Tokushima, Japan

⁴Japan Masters Athletics, Tokushima division, board, Tokushima, Japan

⁵Japan Masters Athletics, Kagawa division, vice-president, Kagawa, Japan

Low back pain (LBP) has been a common and costly problem for long years. Surveillance study was made of about 2000 workers from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The results were that 1-year prevalence of LBP lasting 7 days, seeking medical care, and lost time due to LBP were 25%, 14% and 10%, respectively [1].

Generally speaking, a person has more than 75% lifetime rate of prevalence [2]. LBP continuing more than 3 months has been evaluated as chronic situation, and adequate evaluation and treatment have been anticipated. In these cases, the flexibility or mobility of thoracic cage and vertebrae have been limited or restricted [3]. Further, several factors have been involved in the diseased states such as unstable lumbar spine with pain, less mobility of adjacent spinal joints and other influences from respiration and various body movements.

Further evaluation among LBP, spinal posture and mobility can be assessed by using Spinal Mouse [4]. The Spinal Mouse is an external non-invasive device, which can measure the spinal shape and mobility of the spine in several planes [5]. It can provide surface-based measures of the curvature of the spine in the frontal and sagittal plane. This device has been proven to be feasible, reliable and non-invasive [6]. Furthermore, it can be useful for the evaluation of spine function linked to gait characteristics and trunk posture adaptation related to the decline in gait speed and frailty [7].

Investigation of the relationship among LBP, LBP history and spinal alignment stiffness by Spinal Mouse showed that the stiffness of the lumbar multifidus (LM) muscle would be significant and independent factor [8]. These results suggest that LBP history and LBP would be related with LM stiffness in the prone position and decreased lumbar lordosis in the standing position [8]. In addition, the study by Spinal Mouse could clarify the difference between thoracic-lumbar-sacral spine inclination and craniofacial morphology [9].

Concerning LM muscle, its action has been stronger than lumbar extension [10]. Furthermore, the LM activity for lumbar facet joint adaptation and lumbar flexion have been stronger, which can lead to lumbar stability [10]. As mentioned above, the evaluation by Spinal Mouse can contribute to several aspects. They include to examine the detail function of thorax and lumbar regions, to give exercise interventions, to maintain various mechanism, and others [7].

Concerning the research using spinal mouse, authors have continued the study of thoracic cage and vertebrae. Our previous research showed that there was dysfunction of LM in asymmetry/muscle thickness reduction associated with LBP and thoracic flexibility/stability in patients with LBP [11]. Thus, the exercise efficacy of the lower thorax was observed on the LM and spinal flexion mobility [11].

The range of motion (ROM) of thoracic upper/lower (U/L groups) thoracic cage was analyzed before and after the intervention exercise [12]. It was daily continuation of pole exercise method proposed by Moriyasu [13]. The results included that total ROM in main effect showed a significant difference in U/L groups, and that ROM (total, flexion, extension) in alternating effect showed significant difference, associated with larger ROM tendency in U group.

For the spinal stability, there are important interconnected functions of three elements, which are active (muscles), passive (bones) and neural systems [14]. Among them, body muscles can regulate global and local mechanism [15]. The former includes rectus abdominis (RA), external oblique (EO), and internal oblique (IO), and the latter includes transverse abdominis (TrA), multifidus (MF) and interspinal is situated in deeper trunk. Smaller muscles seem to be related with the stiffness of the spinal segment for maintaining the posture [14].

For evaluation of the efficacy of core stability training and dynamic stretching, patients with chronic LBP were investigated [16]. The biomarkers were chosen as three factors, which are pain degree, functional disability and thoracolumbar range of motion (ROM). The protocol included the classified to three groups from performances, which were with core stability (CS), dynamic stretching (DS) and control (Cont). The results showed that core stability training and dynamic stretching showed efficacy on these three groups [16].

There has been various training for trunk stabilization so far. They include Pilates exercise [17], core exercises [18], ball exercises on the unstable surface [19] and others. In contrast, comparison study was held for trunk muscle activity between flexi-bar and non-flexi-bar exercises [20]. There were successive studies for increasing coordination and balance skills [21].

As for pole exercise training, authors and colleagues have continued clinical rehabilitation and research using Moriyasu pole exercise method [13,22]. It includes basically 6 main



Figure 1. Presentation of pole exercise by the author, Workshop is always performed with impressive flexibility.

movements, which are lateral bending, axis rotation, wave motion, backward spiral, forward spiral and warp/rounding. Further, it includes basically 11 evaluation methods for physical flexibility and exercise function [13,23]. They are Wing test, Thomas test, shoulder extension test (SET), straight leg raise (SLR), body warp prone position, heel buttock distance (HBD), finger floor distance (FFD), back muscle strength (BMS), weight bearing index (WBI), functional reach test (FRT) and closed eye leg standing time [13,23]. These tests are summarized as physical flexibility tests, which evaluate three changes of vertebrae function, physical flexibility and exercise function.

Taking most advantages of these exercise, we have broadened the useful knowledge and practice through various workshops and opportunities [24]. The subjects include patients with metabolic syndrome, locomotive syndrome, flail, elderly people with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in nursing home, sportsman with masters' athletes, professional soccer and baseball players, and others [25]. When giving lectures, the authors can always teach a variety kinds of pole exercises, presenting the flexibility and mobility of the body (Figure 1). Such performance would be necessary for leading people make up their mind to practice every day.

In summary, we have continued various rehabilitation practices and lectures with the slogan "Be healthy by pole exercise". Authors expect that this article would become the reference data for developing adequate exercise training and research in the future.

Keywords: *pole exercise; low back pain (LBP); spinal mouse; lumbar multifidus (LM); thoracic spinal mobility.*

References

1. Ferguson SA, Merryweather A, Thiese MS, Hegmann KT, Lu ML, et al. (2019) Prevalence of low back pain, seeking medical care, and lost time due to low back pain among manual material handling workers in the United States. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord* 20: 243.
2. Hanney WJ, Masaracchio M, Liu X, Kolber MJ (2016) The influence of physical therapy guideline adherence on healthcare utilization and costs among patients with low back pain: A systematic review of the literature. *PLoS One* 11: e0156799.
3. Mohanty PP, Pattnaik M (2016) Mobilisation of the thoracic spine in the management of spondylolisthesis. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 20: 598–603.
4. Demarteau J, Jansen B, Van Keymolten B, Mets T, Bautmans I (2019) Trunk inclination and hip extension mobility, but not thoracic kyphosis angle, are related to 3D-accelerometry based gait alterations and increased fall-risk in older persons. *Gait Posture* 72: 89-95.
5. Livanelioglu A, Kaya F, Nabiyev V, Demirkiran G, Firat T (2015) The validity and reliability of "Spinal Mouse" assessment of spinal curvatures in the frontal plane in pediatric adolescent idiopathic thoraco-lumbar curves. *Eur Spine J* 25: 476–482.
6. Roghani T, Khalkhali Zavieh M, Rahimi A, Talebian S, Dehghan Manshadi F, et al. (2017) The reliability of standing sagittal measurements of spinal curvature and range of motion in older women with and without hyperkyphosis using a skin-surface device. *J Manipul Physiol Ther* 40:685–691.
7. Merchant RA, Banerji S, Singh G, Chew E, Poh CL, et al. (2016) Is trunk posture in walking a better marker than gait speed in predicting decline in function and subsequent frailty? *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 17: 65–70.

8. Masaki M, Ikezoe T, Yanase K, Ji X, Umehara J, et al. (2019) Association of Pain History and Current Pain With Sagittal Spinal Alignment and Muscle Stiffness and Muscle Mass of the Back Muscles in Middle-aged and Elderly Women. *Clin Spine Surg* 32: E346-E352.
9. Piancino MG, Dalmaso P, Borello F, Cinnella P, Crincoli V, et al. (2019) Thoracic-lumbar-sacral spine sagittal alignment and craniomandibular morphology in adolescents. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 48: 169–175.
10. Norris C, Matthews M (2008) The role of an integrated back stability program in patients with chronic low back pain. *Complement Ther Clin Pract* 14: 255–263.
11. Kurihara R, Ozaki J, Dakeshita T, Wakimoto K, Togashi H, et al. (2016) Effects of exercise therapy for lower thorax on lumbar multifidus and spinal flexion mobility. *J Jap Phys Ther Assoc* 44: P-MT-12-3.
12. Kurihara R, Fujimoto D, Dakashita T, Moriyasu A, Bando H (2019) The influence of Pole exercise on the range of motion of thoracic spine. *Clin Res Orthopaed* xx: xx-xx in press.
13. Moriyasu A, Murakami M, Bando H (2018) Pole Exercise -- simple way to anyone, changing the standard of health!!. Medical Information Service, Tokyo, Japan. ISBN978-4-903906-16-4.
14. Panjabi MM (1992) The stabilizing system of the spine. Part i. Function, dysfunction, adaptation, and enhancement. *J Spinal Disord* 5: 383-9.
15. Bergmark A (1989) Stability of the lumbar spine. A study in mechanical engineering. *Acta Orthop Scand Suppl* 230: 1–54.
16. Mohamad Chan EW, Adnan R, Azmi R (2019) Effectiveness of core stability training and dynamic stretching in rehabilitation of chronic low back pain patient. *Malaysian Journal of Movement, Health & Exercise* 8: 1-13.
17. Rossi DM, Morcelli MH, Marques NR, Hallal CZ, Gonçalves M, et al. (2014) Antagonist coactivation of trunk stabilizer muscles during pilates exercises. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 18: 34–41.
18. Shamsi MB, Rezaei M, Zamanlou M, Sadeghi M, Pourahmadi MR (2016) Does core stability exercise improve lumbopelvic stability (through endurance tests) more than general exercise in chronic low back pain? A quasi-randomized controlled trial. *Physiother Theory Pract* 32: 171–8.
19. Scott IR, Vaughan AR, Hall J (2015) Swiss ball enhances lumbar multifidus activity in chronic low back pain. *Phys Ther Sport* 16: 40–4.
20. Chung JS, Park S, Kim J, et al. (2015) Effects of flexi-bar and non-flexi-bar exercises on trunk muscles activity in different postures in healthy adults. *J Phys Ther Sci* 27: 2275–8.
21. Lee SJ, Kim YN, Lee DK (2016) The effect of flexi-bar exercise with vibration on trunk muscle thickness and balance in university students in their twenties. *J Phys Ther Sci*. 28(4):1298–302.
22. Murakami M, Bando H, Moriyasu A (2019) Flexibility of the chest-lumbar region in athletic athletes. *Int Phys Med Rehab J* 4: 207–208.
23. Moriyasu A, Bando H, Akayama R, Wakimoto K, Dakeshita T, et al. (2017) Thorax Flexibility can be Increased by Standing Pole Exercise. *Int J Phys Med Rehabil* 6: 444.
24. Bando H, Murakami M (2019) Previous Wisdom Becomes Reference for Body Movements Leading to the Olympics. *J Nov Physiother* 9: e154.
25. Moriyasu A, Bando H, Murakami M, Inoue T, Taichi A, et al. (2018) Pole Exercise Causes Body Changes in Physical Flexibility and Exercise Function. *J Nov Physiother* 8: 377.

***Correspondence:** Hiroshi Bando, MD, PhD, FACP, Tokushima University / Medical Research, Nakashowa 1-61, Tokushima 770-0943, Japan, Tel: +81-90-3187-2485; E-mail: pianomed@bronze.ocn.ne.jp

Rec: Sep 11, 2019; Acc: Sep 24, 2019; Pub: Sep 30, 2019

J Phys Med Rehab. 2019;1(1):03
DOI: gsl.jpmr.2019.00003

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY).